



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/721,117	11/25/2003	Dominic Bennett	CLAR 1067-1	6145
61081	7590	06/13/2008	EXAMINER	
CLARIA CORPORATION			ALVAREZ, RAQUEL	
c/o HAYNES BEFFEL & WOLFELD LLP			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
P.O. BOX 366			3688	
751 KELLY STREET				
HALF MOON BAY, CA 94019				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
06/13/2008		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/721,117	BENNETT ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Raquel Alvarez	3688	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11/25/03.
 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.
 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
 6) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected.
 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ . |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>5/12/06</u> . | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ . |

DETAILED ACTION

1. This office action is in response to communication filed on 11/25/2003.
2. Claims 1-20 are presented for examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

3. Claims 1-4, 13-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Haitsuka et al. (6,847,992 hereinafter Haitsuka).

With respect to claim 1, Haitsuka further teaches a method of analyzing Online advertising information (Abstract). Receiving consumer data from client computers (see Figure 7, 720); create a database based on the consumer data (Figure 7, 720); receiving user selected values from a front end, the front end having a selection area with user selectable values that change depending on an initially selected value (i.e. sponsor define certain criteria so therefore the relevant (value) records change according to the sponsor defined criteria)(Figure 8, 820); extracting data from the database based on the user selected values (Figure 8, 835).

With respect to claim 2, Haitsuka further teaches each of the plurality of hierarchical tables comprises dimensions and facts, and wherein the facts are partly from the consumer data (col. 18, lines 20-24).

With respect to claim 3, Haitsuka further teaches the consumer data further comprise a number of impression of an advertisement (Figure 6 , 620).

With respect to claim 4, Haitsuka further teaches that the consumer data further comprise a number of click on an advertisement (Figure 6, 720).

With respect to claims 13-15, 17 and 20 Haitsuka teaches receiving a plurality of selected dimensions of a database from a front end user, the front end having selection areas that are driven by tables at the database (i.e. the sponsor identify relevant criteria and records of information they want to receive)(Figure 18, 820 and col. 18, lines 20-24); extracting data from the hierarchy table to generate extracted data (Figure 8, 835); filtering the extracted data using filter parameters received from the front end to generate filtered data; and providing the filtered data to a client computer running the front end as a report (figure 8, 835 and col. 18, lines 20-24).

Claims 16 and 18-19 are rejected under same rationale as claims 2-3 and 11-12 rejected above.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 5-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Haitsuka.

Claim 5 further recites the database comprises Analytical Processing Database (OLAP). Official Notice is taken that it is old and well known to use Analytical Processing Database to summarize views of business data and is used for reporting, analysis, modeling. It would have been obvious in the system of Haitsuka to use Analytical Processing Database (OLAP) in order to provide a quick way of slicing and dicing the data.

With respect to claim 6, Haitsuka further teaches a first selection area for selecting a first set of values (i.e. sponsor define certain criteria so therefore the relevant (value) records change according to the sponsor defined criteria)(Figure 8, 820). With respect to a second area for selecting a second value from a second set of values, the second set of values being automatically provided by the front end in the second selection area based on the first value, wherein the first and second values refer to values stored in a database. Haitsuka doesn't teach a second set of values being automatically provided based on the first value. Official Notice is taken that it is old and well known that based on the user entry to automatically provide a second set of values. For example, when a user enters that he or she wants to listen to 3 minutes of advertisements or the like, the system automatically retrieve from the database a list of ads that are for 3 minutes or less from which the user would make a second selection from in order to comply with the user request. It would have been obvious to a person

of ordinary skill in the art at the time of Applicant's invention to have included a second area for selecting a second value from a second set of values, the second set of values being automatically provided by the front end in the second selection area based on the first value, wherein the first and second values refer to values stored in a database in order to achieve the above mentioned advantage.

Claims 7-9 are rejected under same rationale as claim 5 rejected above.

With respect to claim 10, Haitsuka further teaches consumer data collected by a client program in a client computer (Figure 7, 720).

With respect to claims 11-12 are rejected under same rationale as claims 3-4 rejected above.

Point of contact

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Raquel Alvarez whose telephone number is (571)272-6715. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eric w. Stamber can be reached on (571)272-6724. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Raquel Alvarez/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3688

Raquel Alvarez
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3688

R.A.
6/6/2008